caseas:@8:080067065BASBADdoonwenet2728 Filled 09/29/29/2089agee113of3

Cascasta 84:01800060700658645BAD obsomeme 20728 Filled 099/299/20808Page 23of 3

1	permitted under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8) (A) & (B)(iv) to allow for the reasonable time necessary
2	for effective preparation of the case.
3	The parties therefore respectfully request that the arraignment or preliminary hearing set
4	for October 1, 2008 be vacated and that the matter be set for arraignment on October 7, 2008, at
5	10:00 a.m. before United States Magistrate Judge Brazil in Oakland.
6	
7	DATED: September 26, 2008 /S/ KIMBERLY BRIGGS
8	Assistant United States Attorney
9	DATED: September 26, 2008 /S/ COLLEEN MARTIN
10	COLLEEN MARTIN Counsel for Juan Carlos Sandoval-Macias
11	DATED: September 26, 2008 /S/ RANDY MONTESANO
12	Counsel for Leobredo Garcia-Rodriguez
13	DATED: September 26, 2008 /S/ ISMAIL RAMSEY
14	Counsel for Maria Christina Ramirez-Garcia
15	ORDER
16	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the arraignment or
17	preliminary hearing date in this case, currently scheduled for October 1, 2008, at 9:30 a.m. in San
18	Francisco for arraignment or preliminary hearing may be continued to October 7, 2008 at 10:00
19	a.m. before Magistrate Judge Wayne D. Brazil in Oakland for arraignment.
20	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time from October 1, 2008, to October 7, 2008,
21	should be excluded in accordance with the provisions of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§
22	3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv) for adequate preparation of counsel, including translation of
23	Spanish-language audiotapes. The Court finds that the ends of justice served by the granting of
24	the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy and
25	the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy and
26	U.S. v. Garcia-Rodriguez, Ramirez-Garcia, Sandoval-Macias, CR 08-670 SBA Amended STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 2

Caseas: 08:08:0067065BASBAD oboment: 121728 Filled 09/29/2008Page age 133 of 3

public trial and the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account due diligence.

SO ORDERED.

DATE: September 30, 2008

U.S. v. Garcia-Rodriguez, Ramirez-Garcia, Sandoval-Macias, CR 08-670 SBA Amended STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

